



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 100000815

Vol. 54 No. 46

23rd November 2018

IN THIS ISSUE

Senator Anning Blows the Lid off TPP, UN Lima Declaration that sold out Australia	1
Get out of the Paris Agreement By Viv Forbes	2
Diversity Working Fine: Melbourne Terror Attack By Peter West	3
China's Housing Bubble Set to Pop By James Reed	4

THOUGHT OF THE WEEK: “Persevere, gentlemen, in your exertions to foster this infant establishment and be assured it shall ever have my warmest support and patronage - and that the time is not far distant when the Bank will on its own merits obtain a public confidence, and gradually flourish, to the credit and benefit of the proprietors and the country at large.” – Governor Lachlan Macquarie, Sydney, 8 April, 1817.

SENATOR ANNING BLOWS THE LID OFF TPP, UN LIMA DECLARATION THAT SOLD OUT AUSTRALIA

Senator ANNING (Queensland): I rise today to oppose and condemn the Customs Amendment (Comprehensive and Progressive Agreement for Trans-Pacific Partnership Implementation) Bill 2018 and related bills, that enable what is commonly called the Trans-Pacific Partnership. This agreement is bad for Aussie business and bad for Aussie workers and families. Because of this, I foreshadow that I will be moving a second reading amendment to the bill.

The Trans-Pacific Partnership agreement might be great for Vietnam, the Philippines and other low-wage Third World economies, but it is very bad for Australia. This agreement is but the latest in a long line of deals with foreign countries that give away Aussie jobs and industry to supposedly disadvantaged foreign nations, beginning with Whitlam, who put a wrecking ball through Australian industry with his unilateral tariff cuts. Subsequent Liberal and Labor governments have all been infatuated with the internationalist vision of trade. In 1975, the Whitlam government signed the United Nations Lima Declaration, through which the Australian government sold out Australian industries and workers by specifically agreeing to transfer manufacturing to Third World countries, supposedly to help them develop. Almost every move to liberalise trade since that day has led to a net transfer of jobs and industry away from Australia and the current TPP is just the latest outrageous example. Giant transnational corporations love the Lima agreement, of course, since taking jobs away from decently-paid Aussie workers and instead employing Third World semi-slaves made them a fortune. Perhaps that is the reason why both Labor and the Liberals agree on these kinds of trade deals. The Left think that our national prosperity is something to apologise for and that we have an unending obligation to subsidise foreigners. In practice, if not intent, the capitalist globalists agree with them.

Transnational corporations don't care about the best interests of Australians, only their own profits, and profits are larger if you employ Third Worlders on slave wages than if you employ Aussies on decent award wages.

Like all post-Lima declaration trade deals, the TPP will allow foreign multinationals the right to dictate terms to our government regarding rules and regulations. The TPP will see Australia's sovereignty further eroded. This agreement will allow cheap foreign goods from countries with historically bad safety regulations to flood the Australian market. The TPP will see food from countries with almost non-existent workplace health and safety standards enter Australia—just like the Asian white spot disease, which was introduced and devastated our prawn sector. The TPP will see hardworking Australians fighting against workers whose wages would be considered slavery in Australia. Their wages are so low that they struggle to afford food, shelter or clothing. To protect our sovereignty, food security, wages and work, the TPP agreement must be rejected. Australia was once a nation of doers, hard workers and builders. We tamed the harsh, dry land of the outback. We built massive infrastructure projects, like the Snowy scheme. Australia was the land of the worker and the farmer. Now, ever since the Whitlam era, we have seen a Liberal-Labor consensus in favour of globalism, foreign ownership, exporting jobs and importing cheap foreign labour.

(continued next page)

(continued from previous page)

This has resulted in Australia becoming the land of unemployed university graduates and foreign workers. Our major parties have allowed Australia to become a nation without work, with our remaining major industries, mining and hospitality, digging things up and selling them to foreigners, and serving dinner and drinks to our foreign overlords. Our once prosperous economy has been raided and pillaged by multinational corporations.

That is exactly what Australia has seen with these global trade agreements. We have seen the demand for labour drop as factories move overseas, while the major parties allow foreign workers to flood the country. This has caused the devastating problems of wage stagnation and the casualisation of our workforce. Without a proper wage and stable, full-time work, how can Australians provide for their families? Before Whitlam, 70 per cent of the Australian workforce was employed in decent, well-paid jobs in manufacturing. Now there are barely any. One-sided trade deals since the Lima declaration, like the TPP, have seen almost all of our manufacturing closed down. The Liberal-Labor consensus is happy to see the white goods industry gone, the textile industry gone, the glass industry gone, the steel industry gone

GET OUT OF THE PARIS AGREEMENT By Viv Forbes

A new lobby group comprising scientists, farmers, consumers, small business and big business is urging both sides of Australian politics to put aside party interests and global agendas to focus on what's best for Australian business, workers, consumers and the environment. The Saltbush Club calls for Australia to withdraw from the Paris Climate Agreement and to cease financing or supporting the international bodies promoting it. It challenges the whole idea of a consensus on man-made global warming. Jerry Ellis, retired chairman of BHP, and Founding Chairman of the Saltbush Club says:

“It is clear that Australia’s push to meet the Paris carbon dioxide emission targets is leading to higher electricity prices and unreliable supply. We have lost the balance between working for environmental outcomes and working for economic outcomes. These things need to be balanced, and this balance is missing with the Paris Agreement. The world would be a better placed with strong economies generating money to spend on poverty, health, infrastructure and the environment.”

Hugh Morgan, CEO of Western Mining 1990-2003 and a director of the Saltbush Club agrees:

“People think the Paris Accord is just about commitments to lower CO2. It is really about transferring wealth via the UN to the so-called Less Developed Countries. It’s about advancing centralised

and, of course, the Aussie motor industry gone. Whatever we have left will be destroyed by the TPP. The first focus of any Australian government should be the long-term security and prosperity of Australian families and Australia. Families are only strong when there is stable, well-paying, full-time work. A nation is only strong when families are thriving. My amendment goes to the heart of this issue. My amendment will provide that further consideration of the bill will be postponed until the TPP agreement is renegotiated to exclude countries with low wage levels and countries that provide direct or indirect subsidies for key products. My amendment will protect the jobs and conditions of Aussie workers. I ask my Senate colleagues to think again about this UN-inspired globalist compact, voting for my amendment or rejecting these bills if my amendment is unsuccessful.

Chamber Senate on 15/10/2018 Item BILLS – Customs Amendment (Comprehensive and Progressive Agreement for Trans-Pacific Partnership Implementation) Bill 2018, Customs Tariff Amendment (Comprehensive and Progressive Agreement for Trans-Pacific Partnership Implementation) Bill 2018 – Second Reading Speaker: Anning, Sen Fraser Transcript from Parliament of Australia Website 2018. ***

control of people’s lives on a global scale. This climate alarm movement has got so far because of backing by Western millennials who have been indoctrinated during their education. Enjoying living standards unprecedented in world history, they have embraced alarmism as a new secular religion.”

Ellis and Morgan are supported by a large, skilled and experienced group of other Australians calling themselves “The Saltbush Club”. The group was organised by Viv Forbes (with a few helpers), from a country farm-house in Queensland with no landline, no NBN and less than \$3,000 in financial support. *Forbes* says:

“The Saltbush Club has over 200 foundation members, plus a bigger group of “silent” members. It will be a voice for those who are rarely heard in the climate and energy debate - those consumers of electricity who are concerned that the war on hydro-carbon energy has increased the costs and reduced the reliability of electricity for industry and private consumers.

“It welcomes anyone with a similar view, regardless of their political affiliations or leanings. “We must reject the UN Agenda which is crippling western industry with high-cost unreliable electricity in a futile attempt to control global climate.”

“Our top priority is to have Australia withdraw from the Paris Climate Agreement and to cease financing or supporting the international bodies promoting it.” ***

DIVERSITY WORKING FINE: MELBOURNE TERROR ATTACK By Peter West

By now everybody has heard the report of a Somali-born terrorist, who stabbed three shoppers in Bourke street Melbourne, and was then shot to death by police. ISIS has claimed him as one of their boys, so that looks pretty much like a clear-cut case of terrorism. Well, one would have thought so. But, surprise, surprise, when the otherwise quiet, politically correct PM spoke up about it, well, there was the usual response from the usual experts:

‘Prime Minister Scott Morrison has been slammed for his remarks singling out radical Islam in the wake of the Bourke Street terror attack. Labor MP and counter-terrorism expert Anne Aly said the PM’s response to the events in Melbourne was ignorant and “politically desperate”, after he called Islamic extremism the greatest threat to Australia’s national security.

“There is no country that is immune to the threat of terrorism,” Dr Aly told *Sky News*. “I don’t care how politically desperate you are, now is not the right time to divide the community.” At a press conference yesterday, Mr Morrison said he could not speak of Friday’s attack in Melbourne, which left an innocent man dead, two others stabbed and a car bomb driven

into the CBD, without naming the threat of “radical, violent, extremist Islam” behind it. Somalian-born terrorist Khalif Shire Ali, 30, had links to Islamic State and had been radicalised, according to police. Here in Australia, we would be kidding ourselves if we did not call out the fact that the greatest threat of religious extremism in this country is the radical and dangerous ideology of extremist Islam,” Mr Morrison said.’

Ok, so stating the obvious is dividing the community. This begs the question as to what it would take to see any religious-based threat. Suppose that instead of a knife attack, the assailant had smuggled an ISIS dirty nuke bomb into say Sydney, and set it off. Shucks, now we are in the swing of it, suppose that ISIS migrants in a super 9/11 attack decided to nuke every Australian city, and succeeded. OK, almost everybody dead, and the others on the way out from radiation poisoning. Couldn’t the same defence be made in this case, that criticism, “divided” the community? Oh, wait, there would be no community, would there? And, what remained would surely be divided then, with no diversity worth reporting home about, before they died. ***

BINDI SUED ME AS AN ABORIGINE. NOW ADMITS: 'I AM ALSO WHITE.' from Andrew Bolt's BLOG

This is something between welcome and too late. But I do admire her honesty and intellectual integrity. Bindi Cole was one of nine people identifying as Aboriginal who sued me for asking why they didn't identify instead as white, or both Aboriginal and white, or as race irrelevant. To my shock and horror, the Federal Court judge ruled I'd broken the Racial Discrimination Act and banned two of my articles. Now Bindi Cole says she was wrong:

One of my identities is Aboriginal and I can't stop being Aboriginal. I am what I am. But when I made that my sole identity it was confusing because I am also white. So I was both the victim, the Aboriginal victim, but also the white oppressor. And then being female I was oppressed by the patriarchy. It was always these kind of ways of identifying that meant that I was always a victim of so many different things that I didn't actually have to take any responsibility for myself or for my behaviours whatsoever. I could constantly blame everything and everyone else.

The court case even turned her into a conservative:

I didn't even understand I was on the Left until I went to a very public court case where I was exposed to conservative ideas for the very first time. It wasn't until I was confronted with these ideas that I realised what a bubble I had actually been living in...

What I was, was a social justice warrior, and a virtue

signaller. And I constantly looked down on other people who didn't have the same ideas as I had, and I was intolerant of them, too...

The more that I read and the more that I watched the more I realised I had been on the wrong side, completely on the wrong side.

So what now for the Federal Court. One of the people it claimed I'd racially offended now concedes my argument. I should add that Cole also confirms a key argument I put and which the judge rejected: that race was in this case, at least, a question of choice. Cole and the others could choose how they identified. The judge decided race was not a question of choice. As I wrote on the day he found against me:

Crucial to Justice Bromberg's finding is that fair-skinned Aborigines such as the claimants do not choose their ethnic or "racial" identity, even though one of the nine in the court action against me conceded in court that her own sister disputed her account of their genealogy and did not consider herself to be Aboriginal. If Justice Bromberg's view is correct, I would be even more depressed than I am already. It would have grave implications for our multi-ethnic or "multi-racial" community. Must we always be defined by our ancestry, trapped forever in some box of race?

You can watch Bindi's personal 9min explanation here:
<https://youtu.be/HeW1BeouF1E> ***

CHINA'S HOUSING BUBBLE SET TO POP By James Reed

The Australian has a user only pay for it article by Alan Dupont, "China on Road to a big Crash." I would have loved to have reported on this, and at one time I could afford to buy *The Australian* each day, but those days are gone. When the big crash comes, I am preparing to eat grass. So, using only the free resources of the community centre, let's see what I can produce on the same theme, on the cheap; collapse journalism.

<https://www.zerohedge.com/news/2018-11-10/nightmare-scenario-beijing-50-million-chinese-apartments-are-empty>

'Back in 2017, we explained why the "fate of the world economy is in the hands of China's housing bubble." The answer was simple: for the Chinese population, and growing middle class, to keep spending vibrant and borrowing elevated, it had to feel comfortable and confident that its wealth would keep rising. However, unlike the US where the stock market is the ultimate barometer of the confidence boosting "wealth effect", in China it has always been about housing as three quarters of Chinese household assets are parked in real estate, compared to only 28% in the US, with the remainder invested in financial assets. ... Meanwhile, in the process of reflating the latest housing bubble, another dire byproduct of this artificial housing "market" has emerged: tens of millions of apartments and houses standing empty across the country.

According to *Bloomberg*, soon-to-be-published research will show that roughly 22% of China's urban housing stock is unoccupied, according to Professor Gan Li, who runs the main nationwide study. That amounts to more than 50 million empty homes.

The reason for the massive empty inventory glut: to keep supply low and prices artificially elevated by taking out as much inventory off the market as possible. This, however, works both ways, and while it helps boost prices on the way up as the economy grow and speculators flood the housing market with easy money, the moment the trend flips the spike in supply as empty units are offloaded will lead to a panic liquidation of homes, resulting in what may be the biggest housing market crash ever observed, and putting the US home bubble of 2006 to shame.

Indeed, as *Bloomberg* notes, the "nightmare scenario" for Chinese authorities is that owners of unoccupied dwellings rush to sell when cracks start appearing in the property market, causing a self-reinforcing downward price spiral.'

Thus, it is only a matter of time before the Chinese property bubble bursts, as all such bubbles do. It will be wonderful to see this happen, as bursting bubbles have a special magic of their own. ***

PHONE CANCER By Brian Simpson

Animal models in drug and vaccine testing are used to confirm drug effectiveness, but not conclusively until human studies are done. But, if bad effects are seen, then this is grounds for caution. Not so, apparently, for mobile phones. I am sure that most young people, who are virtually a part of their mobile phones, are more concerned about their phone getting cancer than them. Nevertheless, a recent study found that there was "clear evidence" of malignant tumours in the hearts, brains and adrenal glands of male rats.

https://www.livescience.com/63996-cellphones-cancer-rats-ntp-study.html?utm_source=ls-newsletter&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=20181103-ls

ACTION TARGET

It is not often we have the chance to agree totally with our MP's; however Senator Anning has spoken about all of the disadvantages of the Lima Agreement and the TPP Free Trade Agreement. It will be such a pleasure to be able to support him with a brief note of congratulations. He is a Queensland Senator but deserves encouragement from other States too. Send your note to him at "Parliament House, Canberra. ACT 2600"

Copy his speech and send it to your local MP. Tell him you agree with Senator Anning's remarks and that you wish him to also promote the content of the speech. Send copies to other Senators - tell them you agree with Sen Anning and ask them to support his amendment to the TPP proposal. Stress to them how important it is to protect Australian production and employment.

Do not let this occasion slip without acting! -ND



THE LEAGUE'S WEBSITE: — alor.org

blog.alor.org thecross-roads.org

Subscription to On Target \$45.00 p.a.

NewTimes Survey \$30.00 p.a.

and **Donations** can be performed by **bank transfer:**

A/c Title Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)

BSB 105-044

A/c No. 188-040-840

or by cheques directed to:

'Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)'

or on the **Veritasbooks.com.au** website:

<https://veritasbooks.com.au/cat/subscriptions>

"On Target" is printed and authorised by K. W. Grundy.

13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.

Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.

Telephone: 08 8387 6574

email: heritagebooks@alor.org

Head Office Hours - Mon., Tues., Wed. 09.00am - 3.00pm